

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/842,942	04/26/2001	Jeffery J. Kacines	TI-29248	1191	
23494	7590 01/26/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	ISTRUMENTS INCOR	PHILLIPS, HASSAN A			
P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999 DALLAS, TX 75265			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2151		
			DATE MAILED: 01/26/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/842,942	KACINES, JEFFERY J.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Hassan Phillips	2151			
The MAILING	DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the		:s		
Period for Reply	·	<i>,</i>	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	_		
THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If the period for reply specif - If NO period for reply is specifications - Failure to reply within the second property of the Company received by the Company in the State of the Company received by the Company receiv	OF THIS COMMUNICATION. available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. In the mailing date of this communication. fied above is less than thirty (30) days, a repecified above, the maximum statutory period et or extended period for reply will, by statut	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONT	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this commur NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.		
Status				.**,		
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on 02 I	November 2004.		: ¥		
2a) This action is F		s action is non-final.				
3) Since this appl	ication is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters, p	prosecution as to the me	rits is		
closed in accor	dance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims						
•	s/are pending in the application	n	,			
	re claim(s) is/are withdra					
5) ☐ Claim(s)		awn nom consideration.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> i			•			
· <u>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · </u>	is/are objected to.					
	are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	,			
Application Papers				•		
	on is objected to by the Examin	or				
·— ·	•	cepted or b)⊡ objected to by th	e Examiner			
= : :				,		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
	• • • •	Examiner. Note the attached Offi	<u>-</u>	• •		
Priority under 35 U.S.C	£ 110			•		
-		n naiority under 25 H C C S 110	(a) (d) ar (f)			
	nt is made of a claim for foreig ome * c)⊡ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(a) or (i).			
	copies of the priority documer	nts have been received		,		
¬ =	, , ,	nts have been received in Applic	ation No			
		ority documents have been rece		ae		
 .	on from the International Burea	•		y -		
• •		t of the certified copies not recei	ived.			
Attachmont(a)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cit	ted (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	ary (PTO-413)			
	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date			
3) Information Disclosure S Paper No(s)/Mail Date _	Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	al Patent Application (PTO-152	. ')		

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This action is in response to amendments received on November 2, 2004.

Specification

2. After consideration of the amendments made to the specification to provide new application papers with lines doubled spaced and to correct minor errors, the Examiner has withdrawn all objections to the specification.

Claim Objections

- 3. After consideration of the amendments made to claim 13 to correct minor spelling errors the Examiner has withdrawn the claim objection to claim 13.
- 4. Amended claims 1 and 12 are objected to because of the following informalities: In line 4 of the claims the word "an" between "having" and "a" should be removed. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. In considering the amendments made to claims 1 and 12 with regards to which unit is doing the respective steps, the Examiner has withdrawn the rejection of claims 1 and 12 under 35 USC 112.

Art Unit: 2151

6. With regards to the amendments made to claim 7 to correct the lack of antecedent basis, the Examiner has withdrawn the rejection of claim 7, under 35 USC 112.

Page 3

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments, see pages 9-14, filed November 2, 2004, with respect to claims 1-17, have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1-17 has therefore been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Aakre et al. (hereinafter Aakre) U.S. Patent 4,730,251, and the Applicants Admitted Prior Art (AAPA).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 1, 4-16, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aakre, in view of the AAPA.

Art Unit: 2151

10. In considering claims 1, 12, 13, Aakre teaches a method, and controller for logging in a plurality of devices, each device having an identification number unique to that device stored therein, the identification number having a number of bits, each having a bit position, and the network having a controller, comprising the steps of: delivering a control code from the controller to each device indicating that a login process is to begin, broadcasting a first request from the controller to all devices, the first request representing a request to each device to acknowledge whether the first bit position of its identification number has a zero, (col. 1, lines 44-50); sending acknowledgements to the controller by the devices and receiving the acknowledgements from the devices in accordance with the following sub- steps: if an acknowledgement to the first request is received by the controller, repeating the broadcasting step for the next bit position of the identification number, but if no acknowledgement to the first request is received by the controller, broadcasting a second request from the controller to all devices, the second request representing a request to each device to acknowledge whether the first bit of its identification number is a one, and if an acknowledgement to the second request is received, repeating the first broadcasting step for the next bit position of the identification number, and if no acknowledgement to the second request is received, ending the login process, (col. 1, lines 50-63); repeating the sending, and receiving sub-steps for each bit position of the identification number, and traversing a binary tree by the controller in response to the acknowledgements, thereby determining the identification number of the device, (col. 1, lines 63-65).

Art Unit: 2151

Although the disclosed method of Aakre shows substantial features of the claimed invention, it fails to expressly disclose: the devices being on a network.

Nevertheless, as indicated in the AAPA (see applicants disclosure page 2), addressing devices on a network was well known in the art at the time of the present invention.

Thus, given the teachings of the AAPA, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to modify the teachings of Aakre with the AAPA. This would have provided an efficient means for automatically identifying devices on a network, Aakre, col. 1, lines 5-8.

- 11. In considering claim 4, Aakre provides a means for the network to be a network of computers. See Fig. 1.
- 12. In considering claim 5, Aakre teaches the method being performed by a hardware logic device. See col. 2, lines 24-38.
- 13. In considering claim 6, Aakre teaches the method being performed by a processor-based device. See col. 2, lines 24-38.
- 14. In considering claim 7, Aakre teaches a first request to acknowledge a one rather than a zero, and a second request to acknowledge a zero rather than a one. See col. 1, lines 44-65.

Art Unit: 2151

15. In considering claim 8, it is implicit in the teachings of Aakre that the acknowledgement is a signal above a noise threshold. See col. 1, lines 44-65.

16. In considering claim 9, Aakre teaches maintaining a tracking register associated with each device to track acknowledgements. See col. 1, lines 44-65.

17. In considering claim 10, Aakre teaches each device logging in ceasing to send acknowledgements for subsequent bit positions after it cannot acknowledge with respect to any bit position. See col. 1, lines 44-65.

- 18. In considering claim 11, the teachings of Aakre provide a means for ending the login process if two successive requests for values of the same bit position are not acknowledged. See col. 1, lines 44-65.
- 19. In considering claim 14, Aakre provides a means for the processing circuitry to be a programmable logic device. See col. 2, lines 24-38.
- 20. In considering claim 15, Aakre teaches the processing circuitry being a processor and program memory. See col. 2, lines 24-38.

Art Unit: 2151

21. In considering claim 16, the combined teachings of Aakre and the AAPA provide a means for the network to be a local area network of computers, and the controller to be a part of a network server. See col. 2, lines 38-52.

22. Claims 2, 3, 17, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aakre and the AAPA in view of Siep et al. (hereinafter Siep), U.S. patent 6,452,480.

23. In considering claim 2, although the disclosed method of Aakre in view of the AAPA shows substantial features of the claimed invention, it fails to expressly disclose: a wireless network.

Nevertheless, wireless networks were well known in the art at the time of the invention. Siep exemplifies this in a method that teaches an active wireless network for calculators that comprises: broadcasting information over a wireless network, (col. 1, lines 39-48).

Thus, given the teachings of Siep it would have been apparent to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teachings of Aakre in view of the AAPA to show the network being a wireless network, and performing the broadcasting and receiving with wireless signals. This would have broadened the teachings of Aakre and the AAPA by allowing wireless communication amongst the devices taught by Aakre. This also would have made the teachings of Aakre more robust, and therefore attractive to a larger audience, Siep, col. 1, lines 49-56.

Art Unit: 2151

24. In considering claims 3 and 17, Siep teaches the network being a network of calculators. See col. 1, lines 6-8. One of ordinary skill in the art would combine the teachings of Aakre and the AAPA with Siep, for the reasons given in consideration of claim 2.

Conclusion

25. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Shaffer et al. U.S. Patent 6,249,814, discloses a method and apparatus for identifying devices on a network.

Sathaye et al. U.S. Patent 5,517,617, discloses a method for automatically assigning address in a computer communications network.

26. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hassan Phillips whose telephone number is (571) 272-3940. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zarni Maung can be reached on (571) 272-3939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2151

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HP/ 1/21/05

ZARNI MAUNG

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED